

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/815,292	0	3/31/2004	Hirofumi Kurosawa	60968 (48229)	8327
21874	7590	10/03/2006	•	EXAMINER	
EDWARDS		ELL, LLP	SELLS, JAMES D		
P.O. BOX 55874 BOSTON, MA 02205				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1734	
				DATE MAILED: 10/03/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		Application No.						
	Office Action Summany	10/815,292	KUROSAWA, HIROFUMI					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		James Sells	1734					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and STATE AND A STATE OF THE MAILING DATES AND A STATE O	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ju	dv 2006						
• —								
,	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
ا ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	·	x parto Quayro, 1000 0.D. 11, 40	0.0.210.					
	on of Claims							
-	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
3) 🔯 Inforn	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>8-21-06</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Furusawa (US 2002/0151161) in view of Geddes et al (US Patent 6,766,734).

Furusawa discloses a method for forming a conductive film pattern. This method comprises forming film 12 on substrate 11, applying a particle dispersion solution 14 using an ink jet printing method and converting the solution to a conductive film 16 by heat treatment in an oven. See paragraphs [0042] through [0055].

However, Furusawa does not disclose the adhesive face in the manner claimed by the applicant. Regarding this difference, the applicant is directed to the reference of Geddes.

Geddes discloses a transfer sheet for ceramic imaging. As shown in the figures, substrate 12 is provided with a pressure sensitive adhesive 412 and an ink image 222. It appears from the figures that the adhesive 412 completely covers the base of the substrate 12 in the manner claimed by the applicant.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to employ an adhesive composition completely covering the base of the substrate, as taught by Geddes, in the method of Furusawa in order to enhance adhesion of the materials. In

Art Unit: 1734

addition, without the disclosure of unexpected results, it is the examiner's position that the specific materials employed as well as the concept of and stacking the substrates are well known and conventional in the art and would have been obvious to employ in the above-described method of Furusawa in view of Geddes based on desired properties of the articles being manufactured.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Telephone/Fax

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Sells whose telephone number is (571) 272-1237. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 9:30 AM and 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Fiorilla can be reached at (571) 272-1187. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Application/Control Number: 10/815,292 Page 4

Art Unit: 1734

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

JAMES SELLS
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECH. CENTER 1700